



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,563

10/31/2003

Najla Guthrie

182718-335142

8415

23280 7590 01/25/2007
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC
485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10018

EXAMINER

BETTON, TIMOTHY E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

01/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/697,563

Applicant(s)

GUTHRIE ET AL.

Examiner

Timothy E. Betton

Art Unit

1614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15, 20-23 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15, 20-23, and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 sheets</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission of Request for continued examination was filed on 8 February 2006 and has been entered. Accordingly, applicants' entry of amendment to claims submitted 12 December 2005 have also been filed and made of record.

Status of the Claims

Claims 15, 20-23, and 25 are pending for prosecution on the merits.

Claims 1-14, 16-19, and 24 have been cancelled.

Claim Rejection, 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manthey et al. (USPN 6,184,246 B1) in view of Bok et al. (USPN 6,096,364).

Manthey et al. teach several polymethoxylated flavones comprising tangeretin, nobiletin, sinensetin and heptamethoxyflavone and practicing disclosure of dosing

Art Unit: 1614

parameters (column 3, lines 42 – 54). Generally, the dose of the polymethoxylated flavones given in the methods of the present invention (i.e., the effective amount of a polymethoxylated flavone) is a quantity that results in a reduction in the concentration or in vivo amount of cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor, alpha. interleukin-10, macrophage inflammatory protein-1.alpha. and the like; especially tumor necrosis factor. alpha.) in the mammal. Preferably, the dose is a cytokine production-inhibiting amount (e.g., a quantity of polymethoxylated flavones capable of inhibiting the production of the cytokines or reducing the amount produced or the rate of production of the cytokines). Methods of determining the effective concentrations are well known in the art. For example, a person of ordinary skill in the art can easily extrapolate the effective concentrations as determined in vitro and apply it to living mammals to determine the effective concentrations in vivo. Likewise, the disclosure of 26% in instant claim 15 would thereby encompass and overcome subject claim by way of obviousness via necessity of extrapolation of effective concentrations to achieve claimed reduction of serum insulin levels. Preferably, the dose of the polymethoxylated flavone is between 0.1-10 grams per 100 Kg body weight; most preferably between 1-10 grams per 100 Kg body weight (column 4, lines 19 – 36). Instant claims 21 and 22 disclose a PMF composition of which up to 5000 mg/day may be administered and said composition being administered in a specific dosage of 70mg/kg/day, based on weight of said mammal, respectively. Accordingly, Manthey et al. teach the various administration routes of polymethoxylated flavones, such as oral, transdermal, subcutaneous, rectal,

intraarticular, intravenous and intramuscular introduction, that are obvious over instant claims 21 and 20, which disclose same routes for said agent.

Manthey et al. do not teach a method for preventing insulin resistance nor does it teach on tetramethylscutellarein, a polymethoxylated flavone as disclosed in instant claim 15.

Bok et al. teach a method for lowering blood glucose levels in diabetic patients by the administration of bioflavonoid. The polymethoxylated flavones taught are nobiletin, sinensetin, and tangeretin (column 1, Table I).

Bok et al. do not specifically teach a method of reducing insulin resistance nor does it teach on tetramethylscutellarein or heptamethoxyflavone as disclosed in instant claim 15.

Instant specification [0002] and [0003] discloses insulin resistance is defined as an impaired ability of insulin to stimulate glucose uptake and lipolysis and to modulate liver and muscle lipid metabolism. In animals and humans, insulin resistance syndrome leads to compensatory hyperinsulinemia and to various defects in lipid metabolism such as enhanced secretion of atherogenic, triacylglycerol-rich very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL), increased liberation of nonesterified fatty acids (NEFA) from adipose tissue and increased accumulation of triacylglycerols in the liver. Other metabolic defects in view of associated with insulin resistance include impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilation. This last abnormality is largely a consequence of reduced bioavailability of nitric oxide, an important biological mediator involved in protection against

Art Unit: 1614

atherosclerosis. Insulin resistance syndrome commonly precedes type 2 diabetes and both disorders are associated with increased risk of heart disease.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manthey et al. and Bok et al. as applied to claims 15 and 20-23 above, and further in view of Malterud et al. (Inhibitors of 15-lipoxygenase from orange peel. J Agric Food Chem (2000 Nov); 48(11): 5576-80, printed page: 1, Abstract).

Malterud et al. teach a series of polymethoxylated flavonoids, such as sinensetin, nobiletin, tangeretin, heptamethoxyflavone and tetramethylscutellarein (as disclosed in instant claim 15), which have been isolated from orange peel and collectively are inhibitors of 15 lipoxygenase (Malterud et al. Inhibitors of 15-lipoxygenase from orange peel. J Agric Food Chem (2000 Nov); 48(11): 5576-80, printed page: 1, Abstract, lines 1-3).

For exemplary purposes, increased production of 15-lipoxygenase adversely affects insulin resistant/Type 2 diabetic patients. Insulin resistance is normally a precursor adverse affect, which progresses into Type 2 diabetic patients.

Further for exemplary purposes, Type 2 diabetes is associated with significantly accelerated rates of macrovascular complications such as atherosclerosis. Emerging evidence now indicates that atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease and that certain inflammatory markers may be key predictors of diabetic atherosclerosis.

Proinflammatory cytokines and cellular adhesion molecules expressed by vascular and blood cells during stimulation by growth factors and cytokines seem to play major roles in the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis and diabetic vascular complications. However,

Art Unit: 1614

more recently, data suggests that inflammatory responses can also be elicited by smaller oxidized lipids that are components of atherogenic oxidized low-density lipoprotein or products of phospholipase activation and arachidonic acid metabolism. These include oxidized lipids of the lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase pathways of arachidonic acid and linoleic acid metabolism. These lipids have potent growth, vasoactive, chemotactic, oxidative, and proinflammatory properties in vascular smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, and monocytes. Cellular and animal models indicate that these enzymes are induced under diabetic conditions, have proatherogenic effects, and also mediate the actions of growth factors and cytokines. This review highlights the roles of the inflammatory cyclooxygenase and 12/15-lipoxygenase pathways in the pathogenesis of diabetic vascular disease.

Evidence suggests that inflammatory responses in the vasculature can be elicited by small oxidized lipids that are components of oxidized low-density lipoprotein or products of the lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase pathways of arachidonic and linoleic acid metabolism. This review evaluates these inflammatory and proatherogenic pathways in the pathogenesis of diabetic vascular disease (Natarajan et al., Lipid Inflammatory Mediators in Diabetic Vascular Disease, Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, 2004;24:1542, printed pages 1 and 2, see pages 1 and 2).

Thus, it is prima facie obvious to combine the teachings of Manthey et al., Bok et al., via the motivation to combine by Malterud et al. Malterud et al. teach the complete disclosure of polymethoxylated flavones as disclosed in instant claim 15. As comprised in instant claim 15 for insulin resistance, the five disclosed polymethoxylated flavones

Art Unit: 1614

are taught as a group comprising thereof for inhibition of 15-lipoxygenase. One of ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time of the instant invention would instantly recognize the motivation to incorporate and modify the teachings of Manthey et al. and Bok et al. with the addition of Malterud et al. (incorporating the addition of tetramethylscutellarein). Accordingly, The radical –scavenging activity of the five instant polymethoxylated flavones disclosed results in a practicing method of reducing 15-lipoxygenase (Hatley et al. Increased production of 12/15 lipoxygenase eicosanoids accelerates monocyte/endothelial interactions in diabetic db/db mice. J Biol Chem. 2003 July 13; 278(28): 25369-75, printed pages 1 and 2, see page 1). One of ordinary skill in the pertinent art would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully combining the method of Manthey et al. and the method of Bok et al., (as both teach the administration of polymethylated flavones (bioflavonoids)). Malterud et al. is the motivation to combine due to 1) the five identical bioflavonoid agents as disclosed and taught in instant invention and Malterud et al., and 2) the five identical bioflavonoid agents with indication of therapy for inhibiting an enzyme, which has direct correlation to insulin resistance as disclosed in instant invention. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pershadsingh et al. (USPN 6,087,385) in view of Robbins (USPN 3,867,541).

Pershadsingh et al., teach tangeretin sensitizing and the reduction of insulin resistance (including diabetes) (Abstract, column 1, lines 20-40).

Art Unit: 1614

Pershadsingh et al. does not teach tangeretin or any other agents in its class as a single or combination therapy for insulin resistance. However, instant claim 25 discloses a polymethoxylated flavone composition comprising said agent or agents. Therefore, instant claim 25 suggests that the polymethoxyflavone composition could instantly comprise one said polymethoxyflavone and some other agent in order to mitigate insulin resistance.

Robbins teaches methoxylated flavonoids having at least two methoxyl radicals or substituents exhibiting powerful anti-adhesive effects on blood cells in vivo and in vitro. Such flavonoids are combined with an anticoagulant therefore providing greater protection against thrombi formation than when either is used without the other. Instant specification discloses an etiology of insulin resistance, which includes impairment of endothelium-dependent vasodilation, and a reduction in nitric oxide, which is an important mediator involved in protection against atherosclerosis. Insulin resistance syndrome commonly precedes type 2 diabetes and both disorders are associated with the increased risk of heart disease (specification [0002] and [0003]). The teaching of Robbins overcomes instant claim 25 in the way of obviousness. Instant specification fails to disclose the reason of specificity in percentages of disclosed polymethoxylated flavones, however one of skill in the art would instantly recognize the necessity to extrapolate for an effective concentration of components of said formulation.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art at the time of the invention to have modified and/or combined the methods and teachings of Bok et al., Manthey et al., Robbins, and Pershadsingh et al. Instant invention is drawn

Art Unit: 1614

toward a method of treating a mammal having metabolic abnormalities resulting from insulin resistance comprising administering an effective amount of polymethoxyflavone composition comprising sinensetin, nobiletin, tangeretin, heptamethoxyflavone and tetramethylscutellarein to reduce serum insulin levels by at least about 26% (instant claim 15). One of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully combining and/or modifying the methods of Bok et al., Robbins, Manthey et al. and Pershadsingh et al. which all essentially teach practicing polymethoxylated flavones and methods of administration thereof. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Joint Inventors

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the Examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy E. Betton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30a - 5:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TEB


ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER